

## Post Licensure Operations Department Managing Academic Integrity Concerns in MSN & DNP Programs

Audit and Communications - No. 421

**Procedure Number: S-821** 

Effective Date: 6/22/2015

Department of Origin: Post Licensure Operations Team,

Procedure Approved By: Online Operations Team

### **Purpose:**

The student handbook provides a comprehensive definition of Chamberlain's standards for academic integrity. Courses include a tutorial on plagiarism. Faculty members are responsible for enforcing the academic integrity policy of Chamberlain College of Nursing. Plagiarism in a paper, learning activities, or threaded discussions is unacceptable and any form of suspected or actual plagiarism must be reported immediately to the faculty manager and dean. This SOP provides a systematic approach to determining the steps needed to address different instances of plagiarism.

### Areas of Responsibility:

Online Faculty

**Faculty Managers** 

Online Program Deans

**Program Directors** 

**Professional Review Committee** 

Revised Date: June 22, 2015 Page 1 of 5



# ${\bf Post\ Licensure\ Operations\ Department}\\ {\bf Managing\ Academic\ Integrity\ Concerns\ in\ MSN\ \&\ DNP\ Programs}$

Audit and Communications - No. 421

### **Procedure Details:**

Category of Offense (See NOTE1 below)	Examples	Identification & Review Progression	Recommendation	Documentation
1	*Paraphrase of other's material without citation *Self-plagiarism *Direct quotation, properly cited without quotation marks	*Identified by faculty  *Student advised via email or Gradebook comment  * Faculty sends documentation (see NOTE2 below) to Faculty Manager (FM) for information and Academic Leader (AL) for action;  *AL checks Ethics Point for prior cases  * AL determines action(s) and notifies involved persons  * AL sends documentation to Program Director (PD) who creates and concludes case in Ethics Point	Minimum: Student rewrites assignment with 30% late penalty Maximum: Zero on assignment Optional: Other stipulations as indicated or recommended	*Reprimand *Documentation posted to Ethics Point
2	*Use of thesaurus to change authors' words, with or without citation *Padding reference list – fabricated or not utilized *Sharing work with other students by any means	*Identified by faculty  *Student notified via email or Gradebook comment  *Instructor & student discuss situation (email or phone) <u>Student Admits:</u> Instructor sends	Minimum: zero on assignment Maximum: course failure Optional: other stipulations as indicated or recommended	*Letter of censure *Documentation posted to Ethics Point
	*Completing group work on an individual assignment	documentation to FM for information and AL for action  AL checks Ethics Point for prior cases  AL determines action(s) and notifies involved persons  AL sends documentation to PD who creates and concludes case in Ethics Point		
		Student Refutes:  Instructor sends documentation to FM for information and AL for action  AL checks Ethics Point for prior cases  AL sends documentation to PD, who creates a case in Ethics Point  AL sends Ethics Point task to Chair, PRC		

Revised Date: June 22, 2015 Page 2 of 5



# $\label{local-post-licensure} Post\ Licensure\ Operations\ Department\\ Managing\ Academic\ Integrity\ Concerns\ in\ MSN\ \&\ DNP\ Programs$

Audit and Communications - No. 421

		<ul> <li>PRC sends to AL the</li> </ul>		
		judgment on AI violation and		
		suggested actions		
		<ul> <li>AL makes final decisions on</li> </ul>		
		violation and actions and		
		notifies involved persons.		
		<ul> <li>AL updates Ethics Point</li> </ul>		
		when case concludes.		
	*Unauthorized access to any	*Identified by faculty	Minimum: zero on	*Letter of censure
	exam materials	*Student informed via email or	assignment	*Documentation posted in
	*Unidentified direct quotation of	Gradebook comment	Maximum: course failure	Ethics Point
3	other's materials without citation	*Instructor & student discuss	Optional: other	
	*Significant portion of	issue (email or phone)	stipulations as indicated	
	assignment (≥ 24% similarity after elimination of all	Student Admits:  • Instructor sends	or recommended	
	percentages below 4%)	<ul> <li>Instructor sends documentation to FM for</li> </ul>		
	unattributed / no citation (cut &	information and AL for		
	paste)	action		
	paster	AL checks Ethics Point for		
		prior cases		
		<ul> <li>AL determines action(s) and</li> </ul>		
		notifies involved persons		
		<ul> <li>AL sends documentation to</li> </ul>		
		PD who creates and		
		concludes case in <i>Ethics</i>		
		Point		
		Student Refutes:		
		• Instructor sends		
		documentation to FM for information and AL for		
		action.		
		AL checks Ethics Point for		
		prior cases		
		AL sends documentation to		
		PD, who creates a case in		
		Ethics Point		
		AL sends <i>Ethics Point</i> task to		
		Chair, PRC		
		<ul> <li>PRC sends to AL the</li> </ul>		
		judgment on AI violation and		
		suggested actions		
		<ul> <li>AL makes final decisions on</li> </ul>		
		violation and actions and		
		notifies involved persons.		
		<ul> <li>AL updates Ethics Point</li> </ul>		
		when case concludes		ti.
	*Use of another student's work	*Identified by faculty	Minimum: course failure	*Letter of censure
	regardless of the source	*Student informed via email or	Maximum: suspension/	*Documentation posted
4	*Falsification of records / lying	Gradebook comment *Instructor & student discuss	expulsion Ontional: other	to Ethics Point
4	*Taking an exam for another student OR having another	issue (email or phone)	Optional: other stipulations as indicated	
	student take an exam for a	Student Admits:	or recommended	
	student take an examilor a	Instructor sends	or recommended	
	Student	documentation to FM for		
	<u> </u>	accumentation to Fivi to	<u> </u>	

Revised Date: June 22, 2015 Page **3** of **5** 



## Post Licensure Operations Department Managing Academic Integrity Concerns in MSN & DNP Programs

Audit and Communications - No. 421

*Solicitation of others to	information and AL for	
complete assignments	action	
*Fabrication of research, project,	AL checks Ethics Point for	
or other results	prior cases	
*Destruction /theft of academic	AL determines action(s) and	
material	notifies involved persons	
*Acts that interfere with	AL sends documentation to	
academic progression of other	PD who creates and	
students	concludes case in Ethics	
*Identification of multiple	Point	
instances of violation during the	Student Refutes:	
same course	<ul> <li>Instructor sends</li> </ul>	
	documentation to FM for	
	information and AL for	
!	action.	
	AL checks <i>Ethics Point</i> for	
!	prior cases	
	<ul> <li>AL sends documentation to</li> </ul>	
	PD, who creates a case in	
	Ethics Point	
	AL sends <i>Ethics Point</i> task to	
!	Chair, PRC	
	<ul> <li>PRC sends to AL the</li> </ul>	
	judgment on AI violation and	
	suggested actions	
	AL makes final decisions on	
	violation and actions and	
	notifies involved persons.	
	AL updates Ethics Point	
	when case concludes	

### NOTE 1: Second and all subsequent offenses:

Repeat offenses for the same or different violations of academic integrity automatically move the next category of offense. For example, a student with a first offense that is in category 1, even if another category 1 offense is committed, the action moves to category 2 and so forth.

<u>Optional</u> recommendations may include but are not limited to: reading of materials and writing a paper; completion of educationally supportive tutorials

### NOTE 2:

In all categories, documentation means a completed Academic Integrity Violation form and supporting evidence, such as emails and Turnitin reports.

Revised Date: June 22, 2015 Page 4 of 5





Audit and Communications - No. 421

### **Revision History Table**

Date	Description	Author
6-22-2015	Posted to Sharepoint Policies & Procedures	J. Matkovich



Revised Date: June 22, 2015 Page 5 of 5